

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that Claims 1 through 4 were pending in the Application. The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 3 and 4, and allowed Claim 2. Applicant traverses the rejections below.

I. Traversal of the Rejections over 35 U.S.C. 112

The Examiner rejected Claims 1, 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Applicant has amended these claims to attempt to place them in conformance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. The Examiner's comments have been appreciated. The use of the term image in the preamble is separate from the use in the body of the claim, and the amended claims should make this clearer. The use of the template terminology should also be clear in the amended claims.

Should the Examiner have any further problems with the claim language, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests he be contacted so that any final changes be worked out through an examiner's amendment.


Serial No. 09/439,157

5

II. Summary

Applicant has presented explanations and arguments fully supporting his position that the pending claims are now in conformance with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the present Application is in a condition for Allowance. Reconsideration of the claims and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Gregory M. Doudnikoff
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 32,847

Docket No: JA9-98-228
PHONE: 919-254-1288
FAX: 919-254-4330

Serial No. 09/439,157

6